



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-51414 of 2025

Date of Decision: 12.09.2025

Karan Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. The allegations against the petitioner, in this FIR No. 68 dated 09.05.2024, Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra, are for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 457, 380, 201 and 411 of IPC. This is first petition under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of bail to the petitioner.
2. According to case set out by the prosecution, the main accused, namely Sunil has already been arrested and in his disclosure statement, it has been revealed, by him, that the stolen property was sold by him with the help of petitioner.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr.Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent, and waives service. The learned State counsel has opted not to file any reply, however, he orally opposed the

present bail petition.

5. Heard.

6. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that neither he was involved in the commission of offence of theft nor he is the actual beneficiary by purchase of the same.

7. On the other hand, the learned State counsel has argued that the petitioner was the facilitator for stolen property.

8. The record has been perused carefully.

9. A perusal of the record shows that in the present case, there are certain relevant factors, which need to be taken into consideration. Those factors are:-

- i) that the offence is triable by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate;
- ii) that recovery of stolen property has already taken place;
- iii) that nothing is left to be recovered from the petitioner;
- iv) the trial of the case is not likely to be concluded in near future;
- v) that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required; and
- vi) that detention of petitioner in judicial lock-up will not serve any purpose.

10. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby accepted. The petitioner is accorded the benefit of anticipatory bail and it is hereby

directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. The petitioner will join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

September 12, 2025

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No