

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH.

152

CM-INCOMP-1009-2025.

Date of Decision: 08.09.2025.

JAI PARKASH

PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present None for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The aforesaid petition was filed on 20.12.2024 by Mr. Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection(s) on the case file on 21.12.2024.

2 As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objection(s). On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was regularly published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocate(s) were requested to collect all their case(s) lying with objections.

3 No steps for collection of the petition for re-filing of the same after removal of objection(s) have been taken by the Advocate. It seems that the Advocate has no further interest and the petitioner(s) do(es) not intend to pursue the matter.

4 Keeping in view of the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed.

5 Disposed of accordingly.

September 08, 2025.

raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No