

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52929-2025 (O&M)

Reserved on: 01.10.2025

Pronounced on: 09.10.2025

Harjeet Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate
for the respondent(s) no.2 to 5.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
99	20.02.2024	Sirsa City, District Sirsa	148, 149, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25 Arms Act and Sections 27 & 29 Arms Act (added later on)

1. The petitioner, apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court, third time, under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS], seeking anticipatory bail.

2. In paragraph 10 of the bail petition, the accused declares that he has no criminal antecedents.

3. The facts and allegations are being taken from the reply dated 30.09.2025 filed by the State, which reads as follows:

“That brief facts of the case are that the present FIR No.99 dated 20.02.2024 U/S 148/149/307/506 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act was registered in P.S. City Sirsa on the statement of complainant Manpreet Singh S/o Sh.Iqbal Singh R/o Village Kanganpur, Tehsil and District Sirsa to the effect that “I am resident of above mentioned address and doing job of MR in Kanvas Pharma. In October, 2023 I had purchased a car model i-10 Grand Hyundai, bearing No.HR51- BJ-3617,

which was purchased through Tarsem Singh son of Gurmej Singh resident of JE Colony, near Kisan Chowk, Sirsa. This car has been registered in the name of one Padam Singh Chauhan, resident of Faridabad. I had purchased this car for Rs.2 lakh and while taking delivery of the same I had handed over Rs.1 lakh 80 thousand in cash to Tarsem Singh on the spot and remaining Rs.20 thousand were to be given after transfer of car in my name. Tarsem Singh had promised that he will get the car transferred in my name in 15 days, but Tarsem Singh has not done that till now. When I asked Tarsem Singh to get the car transferred in my name once or twice, then he evaded me initially, thereafter he threatened to kill me. Today on 20.02.2024 at about 5 PM, I was called at Sethi Coffee house at Subhash Chowk for discussion about the car. I alongwith my friend Amandeep Singh alias Laddi s/o Gurcharan Singh alias Janta r/o Jhandi Wali Gali, Khairpur, Sirsa and my brothers Kamaldeep Singh and Luvpreet Singh reached at Sethi Coffee House, Subhash Chowk, Sirsa at about 5.30 pm for discussion. At that time, there were many people drinking coffee at Sethi Coffee house. At about 6.00 pm two vehicles i.e. one XUV with number HR24T4900 having white colour and one Polo Car having white colour with number HR51AR3180 came. From the Polo Car Tarsem Singh s/o Gurmej Singh, r/o JE Colony, Sirsa and Goldy s/o Kala Singh r/o Near Bedi Kiryana Store alongwith 2/3 more boys whose name and address were not known came out, from the XUV, which was in front, father Gurmej Singh and his brother Virender alias Bitta, Pradeep Kaushik r/o Panjuana and 2/3 persons whose name and address were not known came out. Tarsem was carrying pistol in his hand and others were having swords in their hands. On coming out of the car, Gurmej told Tarsem that kill them by hitting them with bullet. Then Tarsem fired, which hit on the left hip of my friend Amandeep alias Laddi s/o Gurcharan Singh, who was standing ahead of me. Then Tarsem fired second time towards me, I ran and the said fire hit a boy in his hand who was drinking coffee behind me. The name of that boy is Rajpreet Singh s/o Harpal Singh, r/o Rania, which I came to know on reaching the Hospital. After firing, we saved ourselves by running from the spot and made noise and while running informed the police by making a call at 112 number. On gathering of people, all accused ran in their vehicles alongwith their weapons. Thereafter, police got admitted my friend Amandeep alias Laddi and Rajpreet in Civil Hospital, Sirsa. Where doctor referred them after giving first aid to both of them. Hence Tarsem Singh and Virender alias Bitta s/o Gurmej Singh, Gurmej Singh s/o Hari Singh residents of JE Colony, Sirsa, Goldy s/o Kala r/o Near Bedi Kiryana Store, Pradeep Kaushik r/o Panjuana and 5/6 other unknown persons in connivance with each other have fired towards my friend Amandeep alias Laddi and myself with an intention to kill and the fire towards me has hit one Rajpreet s/o Harpal r/o Rania. Strict action be taken against all the above mentioned accused. The statement has been got written, read and understood and is correct."

Thereafter co-accused Gurmej Singh and his son Tarsem Singh @ Shanty and co-accused Satvinder Singh @ Goldy S/o Hardeep Singh were arrested by the police. During interrogation of co-accused Gurmej Singh, original Arm License of co-accused Gurmej Singh was taken into possession by the police and then the offence U/S 27 and 29 of Arms Act were added lateron.

During investigation, the name of the petitioner has been disclosed by the co-accused Gurmej Singh, Tarsem Singh and Satwinder Singh."

4. The petitioner's counsel submits that the petitioner would have no objection whatsoever to any stringent conditions that this Court may impose, including that if the petitioner repeats the offense or commits any non-bailable offense which provides for a sentence of imprisonment for more than seven years, the State may file an application to revoke this bail before the concerned Court having jurisdiction over this FIR, which shall have the authority to cancel this bail, and may do so at their discretion, to which the petitioner shall have no objection.

5. The State's counsel opposes bail and refers to the reply.
6. Counsel for the complainant/victim submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties and as such, they have no objection if the present petition is allowed.
7. It would be appropriate to refer to the following portions of the reply, which read as follows:

“6. That from the perusal of the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as from enquiry by the police, it has come on surface that both the parties of the present case i.e. petitioner, complainant, injured, eye-witnesses and other accused persons were very well known to each other prior to the occurrence and they had friendly and cordial familiar relations between them since very long and all the persons of both the parties belong to same and common area and their residences are also situated near places to each other. In regard to veracity of the affidavits submitted by the petitioner, complainant Manpreet Singh and injured Amandeep Singh Laadi before the Hon'ble High Court, it is submitted here that the affidavits dated 20.09.2025 of the petitioner, complainant Manpreet Singh and injured Amandeep Singh Laadi produced by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner before the Hon'ble High Court on 22.09.2025 have been duly sworn by the petitioner, complainant Manpreet Singh and injured Amandeep Singh Laadi and the same are found to be true and correct. Hence, in compliance to order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, aforesaid detailed status report in the form of affidavit is submitted regarding veracity of the affidavits dated 20.09.2025 produced by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner before the Hon'ble High Court on 22.09.2025.”

REASONING:

8. Given the no objection of the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is entitled to bail. However, the petitioner has come up before this Court third time, the earlier petition(s), having been dismissed on merits. Thus, successive bail petitions are not maintainable before this Court. But keeping in view of the no objection given by the complainant-private respondents and in the entirety of facts and circumstances of the case, this Court feels that ends of justice would be met, if a direction is issued to the concerned trial Court/Sessions Court, as the case has been committed for trial, to consider releasing the petitioner on interim bail on his surrender till the disposal of the bail petition.
9. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the concerned trial/Sessions Court to release the petitioner on interim bail till the disposal of the petition for regular bail, if he surrenders before 15.10.2025 and files a petition for regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023, in view of ratio of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sandeep Kumar Bafna Vs. State of Maharashtra (2014) 16 SCC 623.**
10. A certified copy of this order would not be needed for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the Appellant-Accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. If the attesting officer wants to verify its authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

11. **Petition disposed of** in terms mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

09-10-2025
AK

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO