



CWP-16983-2017 & CWP-20049-2017

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208 (2 cases)

CWP-16983-2017

Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

And

Ram Sahai

CWP-20049-2017

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Sarfaraz Gill, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CWP-16983-2017)

Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Kumar Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CWP-20049-2017)

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *CWP-16983-2017*.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents to grant him benefits of the service for the period they remained out of service.



3. The petitioner joined Haryana Police Force as Constable on 02.12.1988. He along with other official was escorting one accused who escaped from their custody. On account of escape of undertrial, the petitioner and his colleague were dismissed from service. They came to be acquitted by Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mathura in the FIR registered against them. The petitioner filed *CWP No.21836 of 2013* which came to be allowed vide order dated 27.05.2015 by this Court. The order of dismissal from service was set aside. The matter was remanded back to the Authorities to pass a fresh order. The Authorities reinstated the petitioner and no punishment was awarded. The petitioner is claiming salary for the period from 2010 to 2015 i.e. the period during which he remained out of service.

4. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that status of salary for the period from 2010 to 2015 needs to be reconsidered in the light of judgment dated 05.06.2024 passed by this Court in ***Iqbal Singh v. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.7776 of 2024.***

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petitions stand disposed of. Let the needful be done within six months from today.

6. It is made clear that arrears, if any, shall not carry interest if needful is done within six months. Failing which the respondents shall pay interest @ 9% per annum from the expiry of said period.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No