



217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-536-2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.05.2025

Secretary, Union of India, and others

...Appellants

Versus

Gurdial Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ravi Sodhi, Advocate for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the deduction of Rs.503/- per month from the pension of the plaintiff was illegal. Although, the said suit was dismissed but however, the First Appellate Court had allowed the appeal of the respondent-plaintiff.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants has very fairly submitted that the respondent has died and his daughter had approached the concerned Department of the appellants for family pension in the name of her sister namely Jaswinder Kaur and thereafter, the appellants-defendants addressed one letter dated 07.09.2010 as well as reminder dated 13.06.2011 for submission of the claim but no one has turned up.

3. None has appeared on behalf of the respondent today also before this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the above, the present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants as well as respondent to revive the same in case any cause survives in the appeal.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty aforesaid.

6. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the respondent at the address given in the memo of parties.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

06.05.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No