



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(212)

CRA-S-1989-2022.

Date of Decision:-07.08.2025.

Lala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Shikhar Goel, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Swati Batra, Senior, DAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present appeal is raising challenge to the order dated 30.08.2022 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide which the application moved by the appellant under Section 34 of the POCSO Act, 2012 read with Section 94 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2012 in FIR No.248 dated 08.07.2021 under Section 363 of IPC, registered at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad for determination of age of appellant by way of bone ossification test has been dismissed.

2. After vehemently arguing on the merits of the case, learned counsel for the appellant does not press the present appeal and prays for withdrawal of the same.

3. In light of the above, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

August 07, 2025.

S. Sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No