

COCP-2606-2021

Date of Decision : 23.03.2022

Sujata

...Petitioner

Versus

Hema Sharma

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. P.K. Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2020 in CWP No.9589 of 2020.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2020 reveals that CWP No.9589 of 2020 was disposed of by taking into account the submission of the learned DAG that representation dated 19.06.2020 had been received and would be considered and dealt with in accordance with law.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful.

[4] Learned DAG on the other hand refers to order, Annexure R/2 dated 02.03.2022 attached with the reply, rejecting the representation filed by the petitioner. Copy of the said order has already been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner on perusal of order, Annexure R/2 dated 02.03.2022 states that in the circumstances, the

petitioner does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R/2 dated 02.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[6] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of leaned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondent, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R/2 dated 02.03.2022 by way appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[7] Rule discharged.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

23.03.2022

'Amit'

*Whether speaking/ reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*