



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(321)

CR No.3365 of 2023 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 24.09.2025

Mahesh Khanna

...Petitioner

VS

Sashi Pal Khanna

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. B.S Jaswal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the eviction order passed by the Court of Rent Controller, Amritsar has been dismissed for non-prosecution and till now no application for restoration has been moved. He submits that the respondent-landlord has also expired, as a result of which, even the execution proceedings have been dismissed for non-prosecution and none of his legal representatives have come on record in the appeal or in the execution.

2. Learned counsel submits that in view of the same, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

September 24, 2025

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No