

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:036228



(299)

CRM-M-13293-2024

Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Neeraj Jindal & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yoginder Nagpal, Advocate for
respondent no.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.84 dated 29.06.2023 under Sections 420, 406, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Zira, District Ferozepur and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 25.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 15.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 13.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned SDJM, Zira in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed.

As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The Trial Court has annexed the **copies of statements** of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned SDJM, Zira and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed *qua* petitioners.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

17.03.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No