



CRM-M-3118-2025

-1-

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3118-2025

Date of Decision:- 22.01.2025

Amandeep

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Anjali Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate for complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Amandeep filed this petition under Section 483 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking interim bail in FIR No.983 dated 14.06.2023, under Sections 120-B, 302, 323, 354-D, 201 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, District Karnal to attend the marriage of his elder brother. Copy of FIR attached with the petition is Annexure P-1.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that petitioner is in custody since 22.08.2023. Challan has been presented and charges were framed vide order dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-2). At present, trial is pending for prosecution evidence. Marriage of elder brother of petitioner Shubham is to be solemnized on 21/22.01.2025 in Shiv Colony, Karnal. Wedding invitation card is Annexure P-3. Petitioner is facing trial in another FIR No.1069 dated 19.11.2022 under Sections 307, 323 of IPC,



CRM-M-3118-2025

-2-

registered at Police Station Sadar, Karnal in which he is on bail. In the case in hand, case is fixed for prosecution evidence.

3. Learned counsel representing State has filed status report, which is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

4. Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate has appeared on behalf of complainant and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He opposed the bail petition.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Firstly, present petitioner is involved in a murder trial which is fixed for prosecution evidence. Therefore, allegations against the present petitioner are grave in nature. Apart from this FIR, he is involved in another FIR No.1069 dated 19.11.2022 in which he is on bail. Marriage of elder brother of petitioner is fixed on 21/22.01.2025 i.e. for today. Therefore, no sufficient time is left to pass any concrete order for making arrangements for the petitioner to attend the marriage of his brother even in custody.

6. Considering the gravity of offence in which present petitioner is involved and the aforesaid factual position, I am not inclined to allow the present petition at short notice and the same is accordingly declined.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

22.01.2025

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No