

2025:PHHC:135276-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28966 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.09.2025**

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Income Tax Officer and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Rohit Kaura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Putney, Sr. Standing Counsel
Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Jr. Standing Counsel
for respondents-Income Tax Department.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Challenge in the present petition is to notice dated 28.03.2023, issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short 'Act 1961'), assessment order dated 02.02.2024, under Section 147 read with Section 144 read with Section 144B of Act 1961, demand notice dated 02.02.2024, under Section 156 of Act 1961, for the Assessment Year 2016-2017 and all subsequent proceedings on the ground that Issuing Authority had no jurisdiction to issue the same, in view of circular/notification dated 29.03.2022 of the CBDT, wherein, it has been specifically enumerated that National Faceless Assessment Centre (NFAC) has exclusive power to issue

notice under Section 148 of the Act, 1961. There is a further prayer for directing respondent No.3 to decide appeal dated 26.08.2024 filed by petitioner in an expeditious and time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner contends that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the cases of *Jatinder Singh Bhangu vs. Union of India and others*, passed in CWP No. 15745-2024 and connected matter, decided on 19.07.2024 and *Jasjit Singh vs. Union of India and others* (CWP No. 21509- 2023 and other connected matters), decided on 29.07.2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has preferred an appeal and the same is pending before the Appellate Authority.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent-Income Tax has also not disputed the same.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the file with their able assistance.

5. Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) and Jasjit Singh's case (supra), allowed the writ petitions on the same issue, as raised in the present writ petition, by granting liberty to the revenue to follow the procedure as laid down under the Act, 1961 and proceed accordingly, if so advised. Relevant portion of decision dated 19.07.2024 in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) reads as under:-

“15. From the perusal of Section 151A, it is quite evident that scheme of faceless assessment is applicable from the stage of show cause notice under Section 148 as well as 148A. Clause 3(b) of notification dated 29.03.2022 issued under Section 151A clearly provides that scheme would be

applicable to notice under Section 148. Even otherwise, it is a settled proposition of law that assessment proceedings commence from the stage of issuance of show cause notice. The object of introduction of faceless assessment would be defeated if show cause notice under Section 148 is issued by Jurisdictional Assessing Officer. The respondents are heavily placing reliance upon office memorandum and letter issued by departmental authorities. It is axiomatic in tax jurisprudence that circulars, instructions and letters issued by Board or any other authority cannot override statutory provisions. The circulars are binding upon authorities and Courts are not bound by circulars. The mandate of Section 144B, 151A readwith notification dated 29.03.2022 issued thereunder is quite lucid. There is no ambiguity in the language of statutory provisions, thus, office memorandum or any other instruction issued by Board or any other authority cannot be relied upon.”

6. As appeal in the present proceedings is pending before the Appellate Authority, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the same in terms of **Jatinder Singh Bhangu’s** case (supra), decided on 19.07.2024 and **Jasjit Singh’s** case (supra), decided on 29.07.2024.

7. All the pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

26.09.2025

s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No