



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252

**CRA-S No.1834 of 2022
Date of decision : 19.8.2025**

Naveen

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate and
Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate, for the appellant

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana

Mr. J. K. Sehrawat, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 3.3.2025, following order was passed:

'Heard.

Learned counsel for the appellant inter alia contends that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that although the appellant was named in the FIR, but no specific overt act is attributed to him. Even the appellant was found innocent by the Police during the investigation and as such was not challaned, however, he has been summoned by learned trial Court in pursuance to the application moved under Section 319 CrPC. He submits that the custodial interrogation of the appellant is not required as he was already declared innocent by the police after completion of investigation.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed that the appellant was declared innocent after the completion of investigation and was not challaned by the police and he has been summoned in pursuance to the application moved under Section 319 CrPC by the complainant during trial.



After considering the submissions and perusing the record, it transpires that no specific overt act is attributed to the appellant and admittedly, he had been found innocent after investigation by the police and as such, was not challaned. Presently, he has been summoned to face trial along with co-accused in pursuance to the application moved under Section 319 CrPC.

Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the appellant is directed to appear before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, within a period of 10 days from today. In that event, he is ordered to be admitted on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds /surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/Duty Magistrate.

List on 20.03.2025.'

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant as also learned State counsel are *ad idem* that the appellant has caused appearance before the concerned Court on 13.3.2025 and is regularly appearing after that.
3. Keeping in view the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, the order dated 3.3.2025 is hereby confirmed.
4. Appeal stands disposed of.

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

19.8.2025
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No