

RATTAN SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

NAVDEEP SINGH VIRK , IPS AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 01.02.2022 in CWP No.542 of 2022 in case titled as Rattan Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.542 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondents to take a conscious decision qua the claim raised in legal notice dated 08.10.2021 served by the petitioners within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioners were found entitled to financial benefits, to release the same to the petitioners within further period of three months.

[3] Learned DAG has produced copy of reply dated 29.08.2022 along with order, Annexure -A dated 25.04.2022 rejecting the claim made in the legal notice dated 08.10.2021 served by the petitioners. The same is taken on record.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of order Annexure P-2/Annexure-A dated 25.04.2022, rejecting the claim of the

petitioners, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to the petitioners to challenge order, Annexure P-2/Annexure-A dated 25.04.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.09.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*