

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:072851



CWP-15566-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.05.2025

DAV COLLEGE TRUST AND MANAGEMENT SOCIETY, CHITRA
GUPTA ROAD, NEW DELHI

...Petitioner

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Neelam Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners-institution submits that the issue raised in the present petition has already been answered by this Court in *CWP No.13761 of 2024 titled "Akashdeep and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors."* and other connected cases, decided on 09.01.2025 hence, the present petition be also disposed of in terms of the said order.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel, U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1, and Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits they have no objection in case, the present petition is disposed of in terms of *CWP No.13761 of 2024 titled "Akashdeep and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors."* and other connected cases, decided on 09.01.2025.



5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of Union of India submits that though, the claim raised in the present petition has already been decided by this court while passing order in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, but the respondents intend to file an appeal against the said order passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file with their able assistance.

7. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, merely that respondents have decided to file an appeal against the said order passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, does not mean that the claim of the petitioner-Institution, which is akin to one which was raised in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, should not be disposed of in the same terms so as to avoid discrimination.

8. Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms and conditions as stipulated in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*.

9. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

27.05.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No