



CR-2605-2023 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CR-2605-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.01.2025

Rahul Bhandari

... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Hunar Ashna Bhandari

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr.Manuj Chadha, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Mayank Mathur, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order passed by the Family Court, vide which Amicus Curiae has been appointed.

2. On 10.01.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in the present case, the respondent had moved an application for allowing her to engage a counsel and accordingly, Mr.T.N. Sayal has been engaged as a counsel and the said counsel is only to represent the interest of the present respondent and it would be open to the petitioner to rebut the arguments raised by the said counsel, which would be considered independently by the Court.*



CR-2605-2023 (O&amp;M)

2

*Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.*

*Adjourned to 23.01.2025.*

*To be shown in the urgent list.*

*Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.*

***January 10, 2025”***

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above stand taken by the respondent, the revision petition be disposed of by modifying the impugned order to the effect that the counsel who has been appointed would be the counsel of the respondent in his personal capacity and not as amicus curiae.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that he has no objection to the said argument raised and the counsel Mr. T.N.Sayal, would represent the respondent in his personal capacity and not as amicus curiae.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with the observations that the order dated 22.11.2021 stands modified to the extent that Mr.T. N. Sayal, Advocate would represent the respondent in his personal capacity, in case he is willing and not as amicus curiae.

6. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 23, 2025.**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No