



CWP-4747-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(234)

CWP-4747-2023

Date of Decision : 20.02.2025

Simran

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The grievance, which is encapsulated in the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is that the Sub Registrar, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, respondent No.3, has neither declined, nor registered the sale deed, which was already executed on stamp papers.

2. Instead of going into the merits, and the right of the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the essential and the statutory duty of the Sub Registrar concerned, is to either to pass a speaking order, or to register the sale deed, in question. It is not under dispute that none of the above action, has been taken by the Sub Registrar concerned.

3. Therefore, this Court, at the first instance, directs the respondent No.3-Sub Registrar, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, to consider the sale deed, in question, and thereupon, pass a speaking order.



CWP-4747-2023

2

4. In case, Sub-Registrar found the sale deed to be in order in all respects, for the purpose of registration, the latter shall register the same.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

6. The above said exercise shall be carried out, after giving due opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned, within a period of one month, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No