



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5657-2025
Date of Decision: 10.03.2025**

HARDEEP HOODA AND OTHERS ...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Vikas K. Sangwan, Advocate and
Ms. Monica Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioners (through V.C.).

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant notional service benefits to the petitioners from the date their counter parts were appointed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents, raising the grievance as has been raised in the petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period, keeping in view the judgment dated 16.12.2023, passed by this Court in CWP-14591-2023 titled, *Amit Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others*.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents/State and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within two weeks from today, the same will be decided by

respondent no.2/Director Secondary Education by passing a speaking order in terms of the judgment passed in *Amit Kumar* case (*supra*) within a period of three months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

10.03.2025

M.Sikka

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No