



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(121)

**CRM-M No. 38792 of 2025
Date of Decision: 28.8.2025**

Ratika Mehta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. P. Norula, Advocate and
Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Saumya Ahluwalia, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel submits that in view of para 6 of the status report, the present petition has rendered infructuous. The said para is reproduced hereinafter.

“6. That based on the investigation of the case so far, no complicity of the petitioner, who happens to be sister-in-law of the complainant/respondent No. 2 was found in the present case. Accordingly, offence punishable under Section 3(5) of BNS was deleted from the investigation of the case.”

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection in case the present petition is disposed of as such.

3. Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

4. Pending application(s), if any, is/are also disposed of.

**(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 28, 2025
Gurpreet Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No