



CRM-M-42009-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250

CRM-M-42009-2025
Date of decision: 17.09.2025

Manoj Sahu and Others**...Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and Another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raghav Bali, Advocate for
Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL (Oral)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.0196 dated 31.05.2025 under Sections 323, 34, 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.07.2025.
2. On 04.08.2025, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 21.07.2025 on 27.08.2025.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned JMIC, Faridabad on 27.08.2025. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Neelam was also recorded on 27.08.2025. Learned JMIC, Faridabad has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



CRM-M-42009-2025

- “(i) As per statement of IO, complainant Mrs. Manisha Pradhan lodged complaint against Manoj Sahu, Shanti Sahu, Dhiren Sahu and Manju Panwar. Challan was presented against the said accused persons. There is no other accused persons except them.
- (ii) Perusal of the file shows that there are four accused persons appeared before the undersigned and made their joint statement.
- (iii) As per statement of IO, none of accused is absconder/proclaimed offender.
- (iv) The name of the complainant is Smt. Manish Pradhan.
- (v) As per statement of IO, there is only one complainant/injured/aggrieved namely Manisha Pradhan and she has appeared before the undersigned and her statement has been recorded.
- (vi) The challan has been filed and the case is pending for presence of accused persons.
- (vii) In the considered opinion of the undersigned, the compromise so effected is for the amicable settlement of the dispute and was effected without any external pressure or force and is a valid compromise.
- (viii) As per statement of IO, no any other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused.”

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Status report by way of affidavit of Vinod Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Badkhal, Faridabad, has been filed by State, which is taken on record. Since the



CRM-M-42009-2025

matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.0196 dated 31.05.2025 under Sections 323, 34, 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners, on the basis of compromise dated 21.07.2025.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2025

Sumit Singla

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No