



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-562 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Order:06.02.2025

Suresh Chand @ Suresh Singhal

.Petitioner

Versus

Anil Garg

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. This revision petition has been filed by the plaintiff to assail the correctness of interlocutory order passed by the trial court granting leave to defend to the defendant in a plaintiff's suit for recovery of amount on the basis of contract.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that his application for leave to defend was filed beyond the prescribed period of limitation and hence it could not be entertained.
3. From the reading of the impugned order, it is evident that the petitioner did not object to the grant of leave to defend on the ground that it was filed beyond the period of limitation. In a revision petition, this court does not find it appropriate to permit the petitioner to take up a new ground which was never pressed before the courts below.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.
5. Dismissed.



6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

February 06, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No