

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31653-2024

Date of Decision : 28.02.2025

Kamaldeep Singh Bajwa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a direction to the second and the third respondents to decide the petitioner's representation, dated 19.06.2024, Annexure P-9.

2. As per status report, dated 11.10.2024, filed by Deputy Superintendent of Police(Airport), District SAS Nagar, the complaint was duly enquired into and no offence as alleged was found to have been committed by the fourth respondent. Relevant part of the status report is as under:

V. That regarding the present complaint dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-9), the petitioner initially did not join enquiry despite repeated reminders, however, later on, he sent a message on whatsapp to the extent that his complaint be treated as his statement. From the enquiry conducted, it transpired that the alleged statement of Kangana Ranaut dated 05.06.2024 was a general statement criticizing the assault committed upon her at Chandigarh Airport and not any hate speech given to promote hatred or induce violence rather, the same was not even related to



the alleged incident/occurrence of FIR No. 180 (supra). The respondent No. 4 was neither found to have given any hate speech with regards to present complaint (Annexure P-9) nor any cognizable offence was found to have been committed. Consequently, finding no action required to be taken on the said complaint of the petitioner, it had been recommended by the SHO, PS Airport, District SAS Nagar to file/close the said complaint.

3. In view thereof, it is apparent that grievance raised by the petitioner stands redressed. The allegations contained in the representation, dated 19.06.2024, were duly enquired into which did not disclose commission of any cognizable offence by the fourth respondent. No illegality could be pointed out in the procedure adopted or the conclusions arrived at by the respondents.

4. Dismissed.

February 28, 2025

ps

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*