

2025:PHHC:129528



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

236

CRM-A-658-2024 (O & M)

Date of decision: 17.09.2025

JAGJIT SINGH

....Applicant

Versus

BUTA SINGH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Supriya Arora, Advocate, for the applicant.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.')

seeking grant of leave to appeal against the order dated 05.01.2023 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patti, whereby the complaint under Section 138 of the N.I. Act has been dismissed and the accused acquitted.

2. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc.**, 2025 SCC OnLine 1320, held that an appeal is maintainable under the proviso of Section 372 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023) against an order of acquittal passed in a complaint under Section 138 NI Act, by treating the complainant therein, as a 'victim' within the meaning under Section 2 (wa) of Cr.P.C, in view of which, the present appeal is disposed of by directing the concerned Sessions Judge, for treating it to have been filed under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. and assigning the same to the Court concerned for deciding it.

3. Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and trial Court record, if received, along with the said order forthwith, while also informing the parties.

17.09.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No