



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-31863-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 27.08.2025

NWEKE COLLINS

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL****Present:-** Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 read with Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking regular bail in FIR No.214 dated 07.07.2023 under Sections 21, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, 1985; Section 12 of Passport Act and Section 14 of the Foreigner Act registered at Police Station Sector-31, Faridabad, District Faridabad, Haryana.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of secret information, the petitioner carrying a backbag was apprehended by the police party alongwith the scooty and on search of the bag, one black colored polythene was recovered wherein 09 small white colored polythenes were recovered containing 17 capsules of cocaine weighing 18.55 gm; 16 capsules of cocaine weighing 17.96 gm; 13 capsules of MDMA weighing 5.94 gm; 10 capsules of MDMA weighing 6.21 gm; 09 capsules of MDMA weighing 4.35 gm; 10 capsules of MDMA weighing 4.57 gm; brown sugar weighing 27.8 gm; crystal methadine weighing 24.55 gm; and solid cocaine weighing 11.66 gm.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in custody for the last 01



year and 01 month. He further submits that out of 12 cited prosecution witnesses, only 06 have been examined so far.

4. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail and submits that the contraband recovered from the petitioner falls under the category of commercial quantity. Moreover, he is also involved in another FIR No.54 dated 16.02.2020 under Sections 21 and 29 of NDPS Act and he was released on bail in that case, however, he got involved himself in the present FIR registered under the NDPS Act.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

6. Keeping in view the alleged recovery of contraband in the present case which falls under the commercial category and the fact that the petitioner is involved in one more case under the NDPS Act, no ground is made out to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner, at this stage. Hence, the present petition is **dismissed**.

**27<sup>th</sup> August, 2025**

*Sonia Puri*

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No