



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40461-2025  
Date of decision: 24.09.2025

YOGESH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present:- Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....

**RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.204 dated 25.06.2025 under Sections 306, 316(3), 3(5) BNS (Sections 317(2), 238, 318(4), 336(2), 336(3) and 340(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari.

2. On 12.08.2025, following order had been passed: -

*" The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.204, dated 25.06.2025, under Sections 306,316(3), and 3(5) of B.N.S, Sections 317(2), 238,318(4),336(2),336(3) and 340(2) added later on, registered at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari, Haryana (Annexure P-1).*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR and has been wrongly arrayed as an accused in the present case on the basis of the*



*disclosure statement suffered by his co-accused. He further contends that initially it was alleged that about 130 trolleys were stolen from the company and were allegedly sold to the petitioner, who is working as a scrap dealer. During the course of hearing, the respondent has filed a reply and as per the said reply, 42 trolleys were found missing instead of 130 as alleged. Further, learned counsel has also referred to disclosure statement of Bijendra Singh, main accused (Annexure R-1) wherein, he admitted that only 20/25 trolleys were sold by him and Keshav to the petitioner. He thus, contends that the evidence collected by the prosecution in the present case is not worth placing reliance and the petitioner has been wrongly involved. He further contends that now the police wants the custodial interrogation of the petitioner to fabricate the evidence against him.*

*On the other hand learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner had received the stolen trolleys and had sold the same further. However, he admits that the petitioner is not required in any other case. He next contends that Bijendra Singh and Keshav, main accused have already been arrested by the police in the present case.*

*A status report by way of an Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bawal, District Rewari has been filed on behalf of respondent- State the same is taken on record.*

*Adjourned to 24.09.2025.*

*In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions provided under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 12.08.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Nitin Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 12.08.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating

**208**  
**CRM-M-40461-2025**

2025:PHHC:133266



**-3-**

Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

24.09.2025  
puneet

**(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)**  
**JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |