



CRM-M-47847-2025

1

117

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47847-2025

Date of decision: 29.08.2025

BHAJAN LAL @ RAJA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Petitioner has filed petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to 3 to take action on the complaint (Annexure P-2) dated 12.06.2025 submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner will be satisfied if the intimation/information be conveyed qua the decision if any, taken by the authority on the complaint of petitioner submitted to respondent No.2.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and submitted that the notices were issued to the petitioner but despite that he failed to join the proceedings and submitted that on representation of the petitioner, the authority will take action as per law.
5. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a decision



CRM-M-47847-2025 2

on the aforesaid representation submitted on behalf of the petitioner within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If the petitioner still feels aggrieved he will be at liberty to exhaust legal remedies available to him in terms of *Sakiri Vasu vs. State of U.P., (2008) 2 SCC 409.*

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

29.08.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No