



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-25932-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2025**

Rajender Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to count daily wages/work charge services for the purpose of old pension scheme benefit to the petitioners, rendered by him prior to regularization and grant the benefits as per old pension scheme after computing his service benefits in view of judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No.1048 of 2016**, titled as ***Jai Bhagwan vs State of Haryana and others***, decided on **01.03.2019** (Annexure P-11), along with arrears and interest @ 24% per annum.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 30.05.2025 (Annexure P-13) of the



petitioners is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5, appearing on advance notice, submits that they have no objection, in case a direction is issued to the respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notice dated 30.05.2025 of the petitioners by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider the legal notice dated 30.05.2025 (Annexure P-13) of the petitioners and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

02.09.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No