

2025.PHHC.009483



172/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-5120-2024

Date of Decision: January 22, 2025

DYAL KRISHAN SHARMA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Versus

RAVI BHAGAT AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the deductions made from the pension of the petitioners shall be refunded to him within two weeks from today.

In view of the aforesaid undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

22.01.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>