





CWP-28624-2025

-2-

He further submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notices (Annexures P-2 & P-3) of the petitioners is decided by respondent No.1 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab and Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate and Mr. Adhiraj Toor, Advocate, put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State and respondent No.2, respectively and submit that they have no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notices of the petitioners by passing a speaking order.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the legal notices dated 04.04.2025 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) of the petitioners in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.6747 of 1999 titled as 'Gurmukh Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' (Annexure P-5) and pass a speaking order, after affording them an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.1.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

24.09.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No