



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

266

COCP-1132-2024

Date of decision: 15.07.2024

SANDEEP

...Petitioner

Versus

SH. VINAY KUMAR, IRPS

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate for
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondent for disobeying the order dated 10.10.2022 passed in CWP-11694-2022.
2. Counsel for the respondent submits that the order dated 10.10.2022 passed in CWP-11694-2022 has since been complied with and name of the petitioner has been recommended for the post of ALM against Advt. No.11/2019, Cat. No.21. Copy of communication dated 14.07.2024 to that effect, has been produced by the counsel for the respondent in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.
3. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

15.07.2024

parveen kumar

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No