



CWP-11321-2023

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-11321-2023

Date of Decision: 17.01.2025

Kamla Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.R. Mahajan Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 20.04.2023 (Annexure P-10) vide which claim of the petitioner for regularization has been rejected.
2. The petitioner claims that she joined the respondent in 1983 and worked till 2020 as part time Class-IV employee. She was not granted benefit of regularization either as per policy of 2003 or 2011. She would be satisfied if her claim is considered as per policy of 2011.
3. Written statement filed on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 4 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
4. Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate, on the asking of Court confirms that policy of 2011 is still in force and it was made as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Secretary, State of Karnataka v. Uma Devi, (2006) 4 SCC 1*. The case of the petitioner would be considered as per said policy. If

**CWP-11321-2023****-2-**

the petitioner is found eligible for regularization and other benefits, she would be extended the same within six months from today.

5. In the wake of statement of learned Senior counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of.

6. It is made clear that if the petitioner is found eligible for regularization as per policy of 2011, she shall not be entitled to arrears.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.01.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No