

2025:PHHC:047429



135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2185-2025

Date of decision: 07.04.2025

Bahadur Singh @ Bahadar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mamta Rani

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Dr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 05.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) vide which the trial Court had allowed the application filed by the respondent/plaintiff to prove the document by way of secondary evidence.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since as per the impugned order, the application for secondary evidence had been allowed subject to proof regarding creation of the original documents, their loss, manner of production of the copies and all other just exceptions and also subject to compliance with the relevant rules and procedure and Evidence Act/BSA 2023, thus, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the objections/pleas with respect to the documents to be produced by the respondent-plaintiff, in accordance with law, during the course of trial.

3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

07.04.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No