



CRM-M-8897-2025

-1-

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8897-2025

Date of Decision:- 26.08.2025

JORA SINGH @ JONY

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.41 dated 13.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 64, 333, 351 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala.

2. As per facts of the case, prosecutrix 'PR' stated that her date of birth is 12.07.2006. On 12.07.2024 at about 3-4 pm, she was present in the house along with her younger sister aged about 10 years and they were working in the house. Her sister went out for playing. Suddenly, their neighbour Jora Singh @ Jony came inside the house. He took her in the room and committed forcible rape with her. She was perplexed due to fear.



Her younger sister reached home on hearing her alarm and she also ran away to call their mother. By that time, accused ran away and she disclosed about the entire occurrence to her mother.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner was arrested on 13.07.2024 and since then he is behind the bars. Allegations levelled against him are false. Challan is already presented and statement of alleged victim has been recorded as PW1. Trial in this case may take long time. It is submitted that his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed. It is confirmed that petitioner was arrested on 13.07.2024 and on the next day he was medically examined. After completion of investigation, challan was presented on 05.12.2024. Chargesheet was framed on 31.01.2025. Now statement of victim is recorded where she has fully supported the prosecution case. It is pointed out that DNA report is already received which supports prosecution case. Therefore, petitioner is not entitled to the relief of regular bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. Initially, statement of victim was recorded under Section 183 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in Annexure R1/T where she levelled specific allegations against the petitioner. Petitioner was arrested on 13.07.2024 and since then he is behind the bars. After presentation of challan, at present, statement of victim is recorded as PW1 where she again fully corroborated her version. Considering the specific allegations and the



manner in which offence has been committed, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail and the bail petition is accordingly declined.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

26.08.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No