

2025:PHHC:006173



195

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 4954 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2025**

Gurpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Anurag Jain, Secretary, Road Traffic and National
Highways Department, New Delhi and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Vinod Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Karan Singh Verka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishi Kausal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 & 2-NHAI.

Mr. Athar Ahmad, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No. 3.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Reply dated 15.01.2025 filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2-NHAI, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the opposite side.

[2] Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2-NHAI, on instructions, submits that the compensation payable to the petitioner has been forwarded to the Competent Authority Land Acquisition (CALA) concerned and the said fact has been admitted by learned counsel for respondent No. 3, who assures that the necessary payment shall be re-directed to the account of petitioner within a period of one week from today.

[3] In view of the above undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[4] **Dismissed as not pressed.**

[5] However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring / concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

[6] Rule stands discharged.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 16, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>