

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1865-2016 (O & M)  
Date of decision: 30.05.2017

Dr. Balbir Singh Dang ..... Petitioner

V/s

Sarvesh Kaushal, IAS, and ors. ...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA**

Present: Mr. A.K. Kalsy, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. D.V. Sharma, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Harit Sharma,  
Advocate, for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral),**

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4-GLADA submits that the petitioner was considered in the draw of lots three times. However, he remained unsuccessful. Representation submitted by the petitioner was decided by the Additional Chief Administrator, GLADA, by a detailed order dated 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, Annexure 4/1 to affidavit dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2016. Though the petitioner was offered a plot in the LIG category, he insisted for allotment in MIG category. However, he was not successful in the said category in the draw of lots.

In view of the stand taken by the GLADA, no cause of action survives in this petition. Same is disposed of.

Rule is discharged.

Petitioner, however, shall be at liberty to avail alternative remedy, if any, available to him.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 30, 2017**  
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No