



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-54531-2024
DECIDED ON: 13.08.2025**

HARDIP SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents to investigate and take necessary action on the representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioner and protect him from undue harassment by the police officials on false and frivolous complaints, being filed by private respondent namely Daya Singh.

Further, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the official respondents to take stern action against private respondent and register a case against him under the provision of Section 182 Cr.P.C. read with Section 182 of IPC, as he is habitual of filing false and frivolous cases/representation against the petitioner and his family members.

2. From the representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-7), it is observed that admittedly, petitioner and respondent No.5 – Daya Singh

are neighbours. It is further noticed that a complaint bearing No.200 dated 07.11.2023 was filed by respondent No.5 against the petitioner before the SHO, Police Station Sirhali Kalan, alleging that petitioner had demolished a wall, removed bricks, broken a door, and thrown household waste onto his land. During the course of investigation, despite directions issued by the concerned Investigating Officer to join the inquiry, respondent No.5 did not come forward. Ultimately, the allegations made against the petitioner were found to be false. It is on this basis that petitioner now seeks action against respondent No.5.

3. This Court, however, finds that the relief sought is not maintainable under the inherent powers of the High Court as provided under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. or under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, finding no merit in the present petition and no substance in the prayer made, present petition is hereby **dismissed**. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail any other remedy available, if any, to him, before an appropriate Forum, in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

13.08.2025

Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*