



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21234-2025
Date of decision: 24.04.2025**

JXXXXX

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present FIR was registered against the petitioner under Section 4, 8, 12, 18 of POCSO Act and Sections 3(5), 333, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 but no action has been taken by the police after registration of the same and therefore, direction may be issued to the State in this regard.

2. At this stage, Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana submitted that he has received an advance copy of the present petition and has also sought instructions in the present case. He submitted on instructions from SI Satyawati that the matter has been investigated thoroughly by the police and cancellation report has been prepared and the same has already been approved by the senior authorities and will be presented before the competent Court shortly.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned State counsel, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition in order to enable the petitioner to invoke alternative remedy available to him in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No