



been received from the Ld. Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malerkotla that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms the factum of the compromise having been effected between the parties and states that respondent No.2 has no objection to the quashing of the FIR in question.

5. Learned State counsel states that no other FIR has been registered against the petitioner and he has no known criminal antecedents.

6. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and DDR No.26 dated 20.04.2023 under Sections 341/323/324/506/148/149 of IPC, 1860 (Section 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City-II, Malerkotla (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.45 dated 20.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 341/323/324/506/148/149 of IPC, 1860 (Sections 325/201 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City-II, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla registered at Police Station City-II, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom shall stand quashed, qua the petitioners.

**27.02.2025**

*Parveen kumar*

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* :Yes/No