



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CWP-15036-2000

Date of decision: 28.04.2025

Kiran Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate, for
Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to grant same pay scale and status with regard to similarly employed persons and to not harass the petitioner by posting her to station where no trainee of her trade is admitted, might not, due to efflux of time, even be surviving.

2. Learned State counsel refers to para-12 on merits of the reply referring thereto qualifications required for the post of Fruit and Vegetable Preservation Instructor were different in ITI which the petitioner did not fulfil, to which there was no rebuttal by filing any replication on time.

3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still subsists.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

28.04.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No