



**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1325-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 04.03.2025

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation Ltd.

...Petitioner

Vs.

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
Mr. Ajay Kamboj Gurpreet, Advocate
Ms. Aseeinder Kaur Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. The Management has filed the present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to assail the correctness of the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal's order passed while dismissing application under Order 9 Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. The working journalists filed a claim petition under Section 17(2) of the Working Journalists and other Newspaper Employees (Conditions of Service) and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1955 for refixation of pay and for recovery of the amount. The petitioner was proceeded against *ex parte*. *Ex parte* award was passed by the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Union Territory, Chandigarh on 27.02.2024. The application filed by the petitioner under Order 9 Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 to set aside *ex parte* award was dismissed.

2. It is significant to note here that against the main award passed by



the Labour Court, a writ is maintainable and Civil Revision is not maintainable. The Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal does not fall within the administrative control of the High Court.

3. Hence, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail the remedy of appeal before the Appellate Court or a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

04.03.2025

neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No