

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:131078



(316)

CR-3518-2022

Date of Decision: 22.09.2025

Sunil Dahiya & another

--Petitioners

Versus

Shamsher Singh & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL.J (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 17.05.2022 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Sonapat vide which appeal filed by respondents/plaintiffs against the order dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned ACJ (SD), Kharkhoda on an application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC in Civil Suit No.242 of 2021, has been partly allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has fairly submitted that since the petitioners were proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 29.01.2025 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Kharkhoda, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the above position, present petition is accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to revive in case the ex-parte order is set aside.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

22.09.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No