



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7011-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 02.07.2025**

Karanpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manjinder S. Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Karanpreet Kaur	Jerry Jolly
Age (in years)	21	26
Date of marriage	30.06.2025	
Rites	Hindu	
Represented on	30.06.2025 (P-5)	
Submitted to	Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, and SHO, Police Station, Division No.8, Ludhiana	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 & 5.	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who



are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 30.06.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondents No.2 & 3, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Manjinder S. Bhullar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to look into the representation dated 30.06.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(Sanjay Vashisth)
Judge

02.07.2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No