



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

217

COCP-3410-2025

Date of Decision: 21.08.2025

Satish Kumar @ Bhiri

.... Petitioner

Versus

Maninder Pal Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brinder Pal, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No. 1 is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits vide order dated 31.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-1928-2024 titled as 'Satish Kumar vs. State of Punjab and others', the respondents have been directed as under:-

“After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 6, i.e. Executive Officer, Municipal Council Jaito, District Faridkot, to look into the representation, dated 08.11.2023, Annexure P-9, and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law preferably within four weeks from today .”

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that after filing the present contempt petition, a speaking order dated 18.08.2025 has been issued to the petitioner by respondent No. 1-Executive Officer, Municipal Council Jaito, Tehsil Jaito, District Faridkot.



4. A copy thereof, handed over in Court by learned counsel for the petitioner which is taken on record. The aforesaid speaking order dated 18.08.2025, reads as under:-

“This is on the direction of Hon’ble Punjab & Haryana High Court regarding CWP No. 1928 of 2024 to decide the case in accordance with law.

The same has been put up in Municipal Committee House to consider the case through its resolutions No.130 dated 17/09/2024. The Committee decided to give him shop measuring 13’ X 16’ at Ramleela Ground, Reru Wala Chowk, Jaitu.

The same has been sent for fixation of rent of that shop in resolution No.150 dated 07/02/2025. The same has been put in the house. But they have not decided anything and put in abeyance.

Whatever decision house will take the same will be implemented.”

5. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 1 submits that in pursuance of the Speaking Order dated 18.08.2025, reproduced above, possession of the shop shall be delivered to the petitioner within a period of 04 weeks from today.

6. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition may be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, necessary compliance is not made by the respondent(s) within the time as stipulated above.

7. The present petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly, with aforesaid liberty to the petitioner.

8. Rule stands discharged.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

21.08.2025

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No