

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-62244-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.03.2025

Jaspreet Singh @ Jashpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.**

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.153 dated 15.11.2024 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot.

2. On the last date of hearing on 19.12.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the father of the petitioner being co-sharer of the property in question sold his portion of land to the complainant and thereafter mutation was also sanctioned in her name. While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, it has been asserted that it is the petitioner's uncles (co-sharers of the property in question) who are allegedly interfering in the possession of the complainant; the petitioner has nothing to do whatsoever with the dispute; the petitioner was neither an attesting witness to the sale deed nor had he*

*witnessed the agreement to sell. It has been submitted that the dispute, if any, is essentially of a civil nature.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 19.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Danesh Kumar, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 19.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

**06.03.2025**

*Satyawan*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No***  
***Whether Reportable: Yes/No***