



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M No.45726 of 2025

DATE OF DECISION : 30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2025

**Prabhot Singh**

.... Petitioner

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

.... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \* \*

**RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (Oral)**

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.197 dated 12.10.2024 under Sections 109, 324(4), 191(3) & 190 BNS and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Focal Point, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

2. On 28.08.2025, following order had been passed by this court:-

*"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.197 dated 12.10.2024 registered under Sections 109, 324(4), 191(3) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Focal Point, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.*

*Status report filed by learned State counsel, in Court today, is taken on record.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that as per the case of the prosecution, in the intervening night of 04/05.10.2024, accused Ashu, Shubham, Deep and Preeti, all armed with daat and other weapons,*



*reached the house of friend of the complainant, damaged some articles and after firing shots, fled away from the spot.*

*Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was neither present at the spot, nor was named in the FIR and his name surfaced after six months of the occurrence as an accused only on the basis of the disclosure statement made by co-accused Ashu. Apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the offence in question and it is a trite law that disclosure statement of the co-accused during his custodial interrogation is not admissible. Even as per the case of prosecution, no overact act has been attributed to him and no injury whatsoever has been caused to any one in the alleged occurrence. Further, co-accused Shubham has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 27.05.2025 and another co-accused Ashu has also been granted the concession of regular bail by the Court of learned Additional Session Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 05.06.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Learned State counsel, who has appeared on advance notice of the petition, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. While referring to the status report, it is argued that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. However, it is not disputed by the State that no injury has been caused to any one in the present case.*

*List on 30.09.2025.*



*In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 28.08.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 28.08.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

**30<sup>th</sup> September, 2025**  
'raj'

**( RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL )**  
**JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>