



105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1352-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 09.09.2025

Jaspal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Gurkirat Kirpal Singh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

**HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for the alleged willful disobedience of the order dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Writ Court in CWP-30270-2018, wherein, the following directions were issued:-

*“Keeping in view the above, present petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for the grant of benefit of regularization of their service in terms of the regularization policy issued by the respondents in pursuance to judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in Uma Devi (supra) in December 2006 and subsequently in March 2011 and pass an appropriate order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that while passing the speaking order (Annexure P-2), the respondents have declined the claim of petitioners, which is not in resonance with the spirit of order dated 21.08.2024 and is also contrary to the directions



issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Secretary, State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi and others, 2006 (4) SCC 1.*

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that the matter has been reconsidered and a fresh speaking order dated 07.12.2024 has been passed by the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar. A copy thereof is taken on record. The same is also supplied to the opposite counsel. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the fresh speaking order as well, there is no compliance with the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Uma Devi's case (supra).*

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the directions issued vide order dated 21.08.2024, the petitioner has a right to challenge the speaking orders, whereby the matter has been dealt with by the respondents. However, such an exercise cannot be undertaken in the present petition, wherein, the prayer is to initiate proceedings under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Even if there is a claim that the speaking orders have been wrongly passed, there are no pleading before this Court to that effect.

6. Consequently, present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to avail alternate appropriate remedy, as per law.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

09.09.2025

*atulsethi*

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No