



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP No. 7122 of 2025

Date of Decision : 03.04.2025

Paramjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the question of law with regard to regularization of the services of the employees who had completed 10 years of service, had come up before this Court and while passing order in CWP No. 26714 of 2019 titled as ***Hira Devi and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 19.07.2024, certain directions have been given to the respondents to consider the claim of all eligible for the grant of relief of regularization.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present case the claim of the petitioners that they are entitled for consideration for regularization under 2003/2011 Policies, has been rejected but the similar benefit has been given to certain employee while passing order dated 04.08.2022 (Annexure P-16), hence the respondents are passing the orders for regularization of the employees by pick and choose method. Learned counsel submits that by setting aside the impugned orders dated 20.07.2024



(Annexure P-14) and 18.09.2024 (Annexure P-15), respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for the regularization of their services in terms of the judgment in ***Hira Devi and others (supra)***.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a fresh order for consideration of the claim of the petitioners in terms of the judgment in ***Hira Devi and others (supra)*** will be passed by ignoring the impugned orders dated 20.07.2024 (Annexure P-14) and 18.09.2024 (Annexure P-15) and in case, the petitioners are found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 03, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No