



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

COCP No.1922 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision :-13.10.2025

Jaswant Rai Bedi

.....Petitioner

Versus

**Smt. Deepti Uppal, IAS, Special Secretary, Local Government, Punjab
and others**

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate for
Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 05.2.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-34591-2024 titled as “Jaswant Rai Bedi vs. State of Punjab and others”, whereby the petition was disposed of with a directions “...upon the competent authority to expeditiously decide Annexure P-6. In case the present petitioner is entitled to seek allotment of the relevant kiosk, but in terms of his falling in the oustee quota, thereupon, the respondent shall forthwith issue an advertisement inviting responses from the eligible participants.”

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 points out that Annexure P-6 referred to in the Writ Court order (Annexure P-4 herein in this contempt petition) is the Legal Notice dated 09.09.2024, wherein the petitioner had made a request that his case be referred to the Committee for



allotment of kiosk, as constituted vide order dated 06.1.2023 by the Directorate, Department of Local Government, Punjab. Learned counsel for the respondents refers to para 4 of the Reply dated 21.7.2025 filed by way of short affidavit of Smt. Deepti Uppal, Special Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab on behalf of respondent No.1, wherein it is mentioned that “.....respondent No.3 vide its letter bearing No.JIT/2025/632 dated 09.7.2025, addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar, initiated the process of placing the petitioner’s case before the Committee constituted for dealing with cases of (LDP)/alternative plots committee....”. Copy of the said letter dated 09.7.2025 is annexed with the said reply as Annexure R-1/1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that thus, no cause survives in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to dispute the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that in view of the above, the present petition may be disposed of.

Heard.

In view of the facts noted above and the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is **disposed of** accordingly.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of.

October 13, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No