

2025.PHHC:081421



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

284

**CRR-889-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2025**

Dheeraj Grover

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. S. P. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ayushi Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed by the petitioner against the judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence, both dated 18.05.2017, passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rajpura in case titled as *State of Punjab vs. Dheeraj Grover*, arising out of FIR No. 4 dated 24.01.2013, registered under Sections 406, 409 and 420 of IPC at Police Station Kheri Gandian, whereby the petitioner was held guilty for commission of offences punishable under Sections 406 and 409 of IPC and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period three years and to pay fine of Rs. 1,000/- with default clause; as well as against the judgment dated 16.02.2018, whereby the appeal of the petitioner had been dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has made a statement so as not to press the present revision against the judgment of conviction, passed by

2025.PHHC:081421



the trial Court, as well as the judgment passed by the appellate Court. Learned counsel confines his prayer against the order of sentence only. It is further submitted that petitioner is not the previous convict nor any other case is pending against him and so looking into these circumstances, the sentence of the petitioner may be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

3. Learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for respondent No. 2, has not raised any serious objection to the prayer made by the petitioner.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties, I uphold the judgments of conviction passed by the Courts below as the same are based on appreciation of prosecution evidence, proving guilt of the petitioner, however, considering the fact that the petitioner has faced the agony of protracted trial and he has already undergone actual sentence of about 01 year, 04 months and 14 days, the order on quantum of sentence dated 18.05.2017 is modified to the extent that the same is reduced to the period already undergone by him. However, the fine imposed upon the petitioner is upheld. Petitions stands disposed of.

5. The petitioner is directed to be released from custody forthwith, if not required in any other case. Respondent No. 2 is granted liberty to avail its legal remedies for recovery of any amount due to the petitioner.

6. Let a copy of this order be sent forthwith to Jail Superintendent as well as the Court concerned for compliance.

7. Since the main petition stands disposed of, pending application, if any, shall also be treated as disposed of.

07.07.2025

Wassem Ausari

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*