

2025:PHHC:130632



257-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-1483-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.09.2025**

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM ... Appellant

Versus

SHARI KAUR AND ANOTHER ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. B.R. Mahajan Senior Advocate with
Mr. Madhur Singh, Advocate for the appellant

Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondents.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. The defendant-appellant is in present Regular Second Appeal, challenging the judgment and decree passed by the First Appellate Court whereby its appeal was partly allowed, and the judgment and decree passed by the Trial Court in favour of the plaintiff-respondents was partly modified.

2. At the outset, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant-appellant has submitted that the question of law involved in the present appeal as to whether jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred in view of Section 145 of the Electricity Act, 2003, has since been decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **RSA-4181-2016**, titled as '**Mahesh Kumar Versus Sub Divisional Officer and Another**' vide judgment dated 14.05.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondents does not dispute this factual position.

4. In view thereof, the present appeal is allowed, and the suit filed by the plaintiff-respondents stands dismissed on the ground of jurisdiction. However, the plaintiff-respondents would have the liberty to avail the remedies as available in law.

5. It is made clear that any evidence recorded/observations made in the impugned judgments and decrees would not operate as *res judicata* in any subsequent proceedings initiated by the plaintiff-respondents. Pending applications, if any, also stands disposed off.

19.09.2025
Aman Jain

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO