

2025:PHHC:040658



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-8359-2025

Date of Decision: 25.03.2025

AVNEET KUMAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Adhiraj Toor and Mr. Rapinder S. Dhillon,
Advocates for the petitioner.
Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ashish Rawal, Mr. Mayank Sharma and
Ms. Samridhi Goyal, Advocate for respondents
No.3 to 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

By way of filing instant petition, the petitioner has sought issuance of directions to respondents No.3 to 5 to grant Leave Travel Concession (LTC) for the block year 2020-2023 and the Children Education Allowance from April 2022 till date.

Learned arguing counsel for respondents No.3 to 5, who is present in Court on being served with advance notice, has raised a preliminary objection to the effect that as per Section 14(1)(c) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, in case an employee, posted in the office of Union Government, has some grievance, such an employee is first required to invoke the jurisdiction of Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT). He further submits that the petitioner is currently posted in the Office of Chandigarh Administration and hence, the instant writ petition is not maintainable before this Court as the petitioner has an alternative efficacious remedy of approaching Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT), Chandigarh for seeking redressal of his grievance(s), if any.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage with liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT), in accordance with law, for seeking redressal of his grievance(s).

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage, with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 25, 2025.

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*